NI ONMA HAVE BEEN WRONG, BUT IT IS DEFINITEL MISUNDERSTOOD (OR, A FEDERAL CRIMINAL DEFENDANT'S PRETRIAL SUBPOENAS DUCES TECUM PROPERL REACHES POTENTIALL ADMISSIBLE EVIDENCE)

KENNETH M. MILLER

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. THE PRETRIAL REACH OF RULE 17(C) SUBPOENAS IS UNDUL
LIMITED B MISUNDERSTANDING UNITED STATES V. NI ON 321
II. BACKGROUND
A. A C D L T. K.
C P
B. T H R. 17()' P R
1. T P V. P Br
2. B D U . S .: D M
S_{I} , G_{I} , D_{I} , W_{I}
N , B D , M N F , T , I
G
3. K PB D . /P -N A :
$D = \frac{1}{2} R = \frac{1}{2} R = \frac{1}{2} R$
R_{i} . $17()$. G . L_{i} . B i
$R_1 = 16 G$ $D_2 = F_2 = G$ 329
C. U S S F G
$\cdot S = U.S.P$
S331
III. FEDERAL APPELLATE COURTS' EVOLVING AND
CONTRADICTOR APPLICATION OF THE NI ON STANDARD334
A. F. C (1978 L)335
B. S C (1979)336
C. T. C. (1980 L.)
D. N. C ' (1981)
E. E . C . (1984)340
F. F. C. (1988)340
G. E ' C ' (1988)341

7/18/2015 9:41 PM M1.DOC

321

2015 NI ON MA HAVE BEEN WRONG

I. THE PRETRIAL REACH OF RULE 17(C) SUBPOENAS IS UNDUL LIMITED B MISUNDERSTANDING UNITED STATES V. NI ON \hat{U} . \hat{S} . \hat{N} . \hat{U} . \hat{S} . \hat{S} . \hat{S} . \hat{C} . \hat{C} . \hat{C} . R_{1} , 17(), R_{2} , R_{3} , R_{4} , R_{5} , R_{7} , R_{1} , R_{1} , R_{2} , R_{3} , R_{4} , R_{5} , $R_$ R₁ . 17().³ T . , N_{i} S_{i} .

322

N = S T = P $S_1 = \frac{11}{12}$ L $\frac{12}{13}$ T $N = \frac{13}{12}$ $N = \frac{$ $T \cdot P \cdot S \cdot \dots \cdot S \cdot$ N = 15 W N = 1 N N = 1 S U.S. S $N_{\bullet \bullet}$ S \sim T. S_{i} , I_{i} , I_{i} , I_{i} - S. T • , ., • • • • • • • • • • • • • . **C** 1 , ...

^{7.} S .. I.... P IV.B.4.

^{8.} S _ .16 _ . 111.

^{9.} *N*₁, 418 U.S. 711; . . 16 . . . 111.

^{10.} *S* . . 16 . . . 111.

^{11.} S P V.A.1 2.

^{12.} S P V.A.1 2.

^{13.} S P V.A.2.

^{14.} S P V.A.2.

^{16.} N., 418 U.S. 699 & .12.

2015	NI ON MA	HAVE BEEN WA	RONG	323	
	N_{\bullet}^{18}	S	• .,,	Q	
	II.	BACKGROUND			
A. A C		Z	. C		
T F	R ₁ 17()'.	P. 1			(19)T 200007 T

324	WILLAMETTE LAW REVIEW				
A R ₁ . 17()	,	,			
E ,		مار م م		25	
• 1 •					
S. 1966, R.	. 16		, , , ,		

2015 NI	ON MA HAVE BEEN WRONG	325
	$\stackrel{\cdot}{a}$, . -

2015

327

328	8 WILLAMETTE LAW REVIEW				
\mathbf{G}	. U . S .: D . M . S B B B B B B .				
17()	Si C	D.			

7/18/2015 9:41 PM M1.DOC

NI ON MA HAVE BEEN WRONG

. . ,

3. K P. -B . . D . /P -N . . A . . : D . . . ' $R \rightarrow S_{1} \rightarrow E \rightarrow U \rightarrow R_{1} \rightarrow 17() \rightarrow C$ G . . L . . B . . R . 16 G . . D . . GI $N_{\cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot}$, $P_{\cdot \cdot \cdot}$ $P_{\cdot \cdot \cdot}$ $P_{\cdot \cdot \cdot}$ $P_{\cdot \cdot \cdot}$ $P_{\cdot \cdot \cdot}$

B Ri 17()... 82 O

2015

^{78.} *B* . . . *D* . , 341 U.S. 219 20.

^{79.} *I* . 221.

^{81.} *I* . 221.

^{140 (}S.D.N. . 1959), U . S . . J_{-1} , . . , . 22 F.R.D. 223 (D. D . . 1958), U .

... .., <u>.</u>., Cı $N_{\bullet\bullet}$ S $\frac{93}{}$ C. U. S. N. S. F. G. S. $U.S. P \dots R \dots S$ T = W $S = P_{\bullet, \bullet} P_{\bullet, \bullet}$, U. S , P . N . Nالمرور من الماري الماري المماري مما مريم م B S C A , .e I., e

^{93.} S N. . , 418 U.S. 699 700.

^{94.} *I* . 687 88.

^{95.} I.

332 WILLAMETTE LAW REVIEW 51:319

```
102. I .

103. I .

104. I . ( . . . . . . ).

105. I . 700 01.
```

101. *I* . (.).

^{106.} *I* . 701 (.).

,11 - -**D**., . $R_1 = 17(1)^{109}$ \mathbf{C}_{1} , \mathbf{C}_{1} , \mathbf{C}_{2} P N. E. . . P S_{\cdot} . Α . . .

R. 17()...

R. 16. R. 16. ...

A. ...

R. 17()...

R. 16. R. 16. ...

A. ...

R. 17()...

S. P. I.

B. . U. S. . 128 29, U. S. . N. . . , 418 U.S. 683 (1974) (N. . 73-1766, 73-1834).

- 109. N., , 418 U.S. 700, .12.
- 110. I. 688.
- 111. *I* . 702 (.).
- 112. *I* . 709.

WILLAMETTE LAW REVIEW

334

S. N., C., R., 17()... T. C., N., 118

III. FEDERAL APPELLATE COURTS' EVOLVING AND CONTRADICTOR APPLICATION OF THE NI ON STANDARD

51:319

2015 NI ON

336	WILLAMETTE LAW REVIEW	51:319
). 126 T	
. 1.	F. C. I. A A R. 16. 128 I ,	IRS, R ₁ 16
	N_{-} S $\frac{129}{N}$ B $\frac{1}{N}$	
Τ.	$P = S_1, \dots, \frac{-131}{2} B_1, \dots, A_n$	
	$N = \frac{N}{100} = $	(3)-12(((3)-12()8.7() () 4(0.15

2015 NI ON MA HAVE BEEN WRONG 337

WILLAMETTE LAW REVIEW

2015 NI ON

7/18/2015 9:41 PM M1.DOC

WILLAMETTE LAW REVIEW

51:319

E. E. . C. (1984)

340

. • ., .. . • a please access alse as a sure car Q Q ... 167 T E ... C ... 168 A ... $B \rightarrow D \rightarrow N$

M₁..., 169 A₂., ..., S.

 171 171 1 \sim \sim \sim \sim \sim \sim

F. F. C. 1. (1988)

 $I U S ... LR C... , \ldots , \ldots$

^{164.} U . S . . S. . . , 745 F.2 1386, 1390 (11 C . . 1984).

^{165.} *I* . 1396.

^{166.} *I* . 1391 92. 167. *I* . 1397.

^{168.} I.

^{170.} *I* . (.).

2015 NI ON MA HAVE BEEN WRONG

344 WILLAMETTE LAW REVIEW 51:319

N = S, $\frac{198}{S}$ Fr., $\frac{198}{S}$ F

I. S . C. 1. (1993)

2015	NI ON MA HAVE BEEN WRONG	345
.1	205 	
S.	C. 1. 205	

348	WILLAMETTE LAW REVIEW			
C	C. 1. , , , ,	. ,		
A. P	R .			
• P				
$C S \dots \dots -$	218 C S i			

2015 NI ON MA HAVE BEEN WRONG

225 U. .. , E, L^{-226} \tilde{U} \tilde{D} \tilde{P} \tilde{C} \tilde{L} , 227 R 230 В т, ,11 - -

B. F. A / FA

^{226.} I.

^{227.} S II.A.2.

^{228.} I.

^{231.} *I* . 60.

^{232.} I. 59.

350 WILLAMETTE LAW REVIEW						51:319
			,	• .	• • •	- ,•
P (C, M, .	. T	R	. , .1 ,	. I)
T S.	233					

2015

T , Γ , Γ , Γ , Γ , Γ , Γ , Γ ٠,, ,,,,, . . I . , $A \cdot \ldots \cdot R \cdot C \cdot \ldots \cdot \ldots \cdot \ldots$ $, \ldots, R$ $, \ldots$ $, \ldots$ $, \ldots$ C . P . C . P . C . P . C . P . T RD₁ P₂ s ,....s C. I., P. C. I.

352 WILLAMETTE LAW REVIEW 51:319

2. F. T. C. I. A. L. C. R. . . St. .

353

 $R = \frac{247}{R} = \frac{248}{R} = \frac{1}{248} = \frac{1}{R} = \frac{1}$

354	WILLAMETTE LAW REVIEW	51:319
	.T ., R	
	C P ₂₅₂ C	
	S ₁ C ₁ I C ₂ 25	

7/18/2015 9:41 PM M1.DOC

355

NI ON MA HAVE BEEN WRONG

2015

, i.e., I , I , N , N. R a. If we have the second of the second N . The second N . The second N . The second N is a second N . The second N is a second N is a second N in the second N is a second N in the second N is a second N in the second N in the second N is a second N in the second N in the second N is a second N in the second N in the second N is a second N in the second N is a second N in the second N in the second N is a second N in the second N in the second N is a second N in the second N in the second N is a second N in the second N is a second N in the second N in the second N is a second N in the second N in the second N is a second N in the second N in the second N is a second N in the second N in the second N is a second N in the second N is a second N in the second N in the second N is a second N in the second N is a second N in the second N in the second N is a second N in the second N in the second N is a second N in the second N in the second N is a second N in the second N in the second N is a second N in the second N in the second N is a second N in the second N in the second N is a second N in the second N in the second N is a second N in the second N in the second N is a second N in the second N in the second N is a second N in the second N in the second N is a second N in the second N is a second N in the second N in the second N is a second N in the second N in the second N is a second N in the second N is a second N in the second N in the second N is a second N in the second N in the second N is a second N in the second N in the second N is a second N in the second N is a second N in the second N in the second N is a second N in the second N in the second N is a second N in the second N is a second N in the second N in the second N is a second N in the second N is a second N in t

1, ____ 264

WILLAMETTE LAW REVIEW

356

51:319

2015 N	Ι	ON MA	HAVE BEEN WRONG	357
--------	---	-------	-----------------	-----

V. ARGUMENTS THAT EITHER N

M1.poc 7/18/2015 9:41 PM

WILLAMETTE LAW REVIEW

358

51:319

A. S. D. N. N. 2.1 11.4()7.325.52 333.3589 580.681 T. 286AU. 0.0072.2(S(...))7(...) 0.0072.

2015 NI ON MA HAVE BEEN WRONG

289

T N = S R = 1R₁ 17 -²⁹⁰ T $N_{\bullet\bullet}$ S \cdot , \cdot B \cdot . . . مور م ه مجاري مي ممير المن الي المن يكوم الي المن (1..1, الماريمة والمماريمين والرام - ---- $N_{\text{cons}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (1, i, i)$ $N_{\text{cons}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (1, i, i)$ BOP. W., T_{ℓ} . \sim -. BOP BOPT. P S. . . , $N_{\bullet\bullet}$ S $\stackrel{?}{\sim}$ $\stackrel{\sim$ $N_{\bullet \bullet}$ S. $N_{\bullet \bullet \bullet}$ S. . .

^{290.} I. (R. G. M., B. A. B., & B. L. B., M., D.: S. I. W. C. C. D.: T. P., 42

AM. CRIM. L. REV. 157, 160. .12 (2005)).

^{291.} *I* .

^{292.} I.

^{293.} U . S . . S . . . , 46 F.3 885, 894 (9 C . 1995).

360 WILLAMETTE LAW REVIEW 51:319

 S_{I} , S_{I} , S_{I} , C_{I} , S_{I} , C_{I}

 N_{i}

^{301.} I. 66 (B . . . , J.,).

^{303.} *I* . 61 (B. , J., . . .).

^{304.} *I* . 61 62

2015	NI ON	MA HA	VE BEEN WRONG	ř	363
		S .	., • <u>.</u>		
		VI. Co	NCLUSION		
P S	• • •	•	<i>N</i> S		Τ.,
			Ŭ .		